

report

by Nn Nn

Submission date: 17-Mar-2020 03:56PM (UTC-0500)

Submission ID: 1277202055

File name: Causation_and_Threats.docx (22.96K)

Word count: 996

Character count: 4916

Criteria of Causation and Threats

Author's Name

Institutional Affiliation

Date

Criteria of Causation and Threats- ¹Guns Don't Kill People; People Kill People.

The discussion focuses on the criteria of causation and threats to the validity of causal inference. The consideration of how credible the statement which brings the sense that for every cause, there is an effect. The system explains why some things happen because of the happening of other things. There has been an increase in the rate of the crimes that are performed by the criminals who use the guns to either to scare or kills the others. The three criteria of the cause and effect include association, temporal precedence, and non-spuriousness applicable for the phrase ¹"Guns Don't Kill People; People Kill People."The same is on the cause is that when people create guns; they result in the death of other people both directly and indirectly, when the alternative explanation for the statement is seen wanting like guns as much as they are a product of the human brains.

Intrinsic credibility is the approximate fact about inferences involving cause-effect or causal relationships. That is reason credibility is critical for quasi-experiments because they are entirely about causal relationships. It happens when the researcher attempts to control all variables that might affect the results of the research. Statistical regression, past and these participants represent all likely threats to internal validity. The question you would need to ask while attempting to make internal validity up is `` Are there any other potential causes for this conclusion besides the fact I need it to be? " If Indeed, then internal validity might not be equally powerful.

When we are involved with whether we are correct in inferring that the movement produced the outcome, we are concerned with the credibility of this causal reasoning. There are some threats or reasons that we might be wrong at saying that some reason produced some force,

including past, growth, examination, instrumentation, statistical regression, selection bias, and experimental mortality.

The cause-and-effect relationships are implemented in the statement ¹ **Guns Don't Kill People; People Kill** Peopleshos those **guns are** not **the** start of the killing but the human innovation and creativity that lead to guns. When people create the guns, the mindset is usually for the destruction of the other men during the conflicts like the times of wars. The innovator doesn't realize that the guns are not the only remedy in the conflict resolution, so the people who feel aggravated by the others. The facts now leave us with the fact that the innovation of the guns and their usage by people result in the deaths of people.

The alternative explanation will initiate that the guns existed before man, which is quite unrealistic in the course of reason. There is no single day that the gun will shoot itself as the energy and the brains of the humans is used in the actualization of death to the people. The guns can never exist before the people, as the people's brains are the ones behind the existence of the guns. The people are like roots while the stem and the leaves are the guns, which are quite unrealistic for the stems and the leaves to exist before the existence of the roots as the root is the anchor to the stem and the roots in the growth process.

The measure that can be considered in the cases is the history of the world war, one in which guns were heavily used to bring to an end the opposing forces. The world war triggered for the mass production of the military hardware, including the guns, played a significant role in the damaging and dislocating lives. Guns, on the other hand, have contributed to the gun violence in the United States and terminating lives forcing for the induction of laws that limit the gun possession as it is in man that death arises from the guns and a gun is only a tool for performing death (Machado & Barker, 2018).

The availability of guns and weaponry is a leading factor in that growth in gun force in the United States. There is the little conflict that in this United States, guns and weaponry are well available. Whether they are bought lawfully or illegally, guns and weaponry have never existed easier to gain. With that in mind, one could believe that the advance rule or tracking system could remain in place in the United States. This really is no one wants to face the issue. I believe that the majority of people are either for gun control otherwise against gun control, and most politicians take to be in business not to get the answer (Cassidy, 2018).

The cases of the world war and the American states' gun violence cases show that the guns are not really killers, other than when the guns are on the wrong as well as evil-minded persons, and then the result of death is entirely predictable. Violence within the United States is a significant issue. Still, our own politicians immediately want to keep concentration on the gun violence; also, several of them believe that the answer to the stopping gun brutality is by continuing an honest nation from practicing their 2nd amendment rights. The guns are extra comfortable to be responsible for the offense via means of than dissimilar weapons, otherwise with no the gun at the whole, except through the predictable 270 million guns that hand of the citizens and also if also they were the issue, we could recognize it by today.

References

Blomberg, T. G. (2019). Making a Difference in Criminology: Past, Present, and Future.

American Journal of Criminal Justice, 44(4), 670-688.

Cassidy, M. (2018). Guns and America.

Machado, E., & Barker, A. (2018). Of Body and Mind, of Matter and Spirit: War and

Melancholia in Dalton Trumbo's Johnny Got His Gun (1938 and 1971). In Personal
Narratives, Peripheral Theatres: Essays on the Great War (1914–18) (pp. 153-165).

Springer, Cham.

report

ORIGINALITY REPORT

3%

SIMILARITY INDEX

3%

INTERNET SOURCES

2%

PUBLICATIONS

2%

STUDENT PAPERS

PRIMARY SOURCES

1

www.debate.org

Internet Source

3%

Exclude quotes Off

Exclude bibliography On

Exclude matches Off